



Jan Sterenborg <jan.sterenborg@gmail.com>

VAERS Heist Of The Century Is Being Pulled Off Now!

1 bericht


Closed VAERS from WelcomeTheEagle's Substack <welcometheeagle@substack.com>

13 juni 2025 om 23:21

Antwoorden op: Closed VAERS from WelcomeTheEagle's Substack

<reply+2qowsq&5h1xjw&&e08d1ffb94d8e1bf7c378bf6f206ab58d645e0993cbbfd7fd89e475c77a4dd29@mg1.substack.com>

Aan: jan.sterenborg@gmail.com

Forwarded this email? [Subscribe here](#) for more

VAERS Heist Of The Century Is Being Pulled Off Now!


Very few know it's even happening in this months update.

CLOSED VAERS

JUN 13



READ IN APP ↗



Last month saw the biggest paradigm shift to the VAERS system since January 2011 when the CDC/FDA made an arbitrary rule change to only


publish initial reports, even though they continued to capture follow data like death, autopsy info, lab results to determine myocarditis, etc.

Last month saw them kind of revert back to pre 2011 protocols but rather than append “data” to initial published reports, they created a new search feature on their WONDER website for a user to dive into one report at a time. The design is antiquated and lacking any kind of sophisticated query tools to help the user drill into the “follow-up” reports much less quantify or compile any statistics. Be sure to watch the video below and I’ll take you on a little carpet ride to show you how it works.


I’ve spent a good part of last month auditing this new retro follow-up data and vocalized to my VAERS circle that this retro data dump can’t be everything and that more must surely be coming? Sure enough my Spidey senses were correct and a equally large data dump appeared in the raw downloadable files this month. These are the files that [OpenVAERS](#), [Medalerts.org](#), [Howbadismybatch](#) and [VaersAware](#) use to do our thing. For the most part we have been keeping parity with the actual [CDC VAERS WONDER system](#), some have followed my lead and even spelled out the two digit country code so you can see from which country the foreign report is coming from when applicable.

However, I’ve been the only website and person to create a true interactive dashboard and ethically “cleanse” data, a.k.a fix typos, populate age fields, vax dates, death dates, ethically up-code events, etc. I have a truer Toxic lot look-up as an example because I’m fixing typos in lot numbers where applicable and even created custom fields for lot expiration dates as an example. God bless Craig Paardekooper and howbadismybatch, but that’s just a black cup of coffee to my caramel macchiato. My lot# look-up will usually always reveal more reports precisely for the lot number clean-up I do. Anyhow, let me just say VAERS is pulling off a Ocean’s 11 smash and grab heist right now and it’s losing parity with itself!

For starters there 168 more reports in the downloadable files than what the CDC is accounting for... **(We are 168 reports over)**.



I concur with Medalerts because I'm matching with them and that is what's in the downloadable files.



Upon close inspection you will see **nine deaths** that were previously deleted but now reappeared again. **918723 1157506 1157506 1868997 1868997 2177026 2575815 2575819 2575822**. Here is one example (**2575822**) but these currently can not be searched using the Wonder system, but are included in this months downloads.

First Appeared on 2/10/2023

VAERS ID: **2575822** Vaccinated: 0000-00-00
 VAERS Form: 2 Onset: 0000-00-00
 Age: Submitted: 0000-00-00
 Sex: Unknown Entered: 2023-02-04
 Location: Unknown

Vaccination / Manufacturer (1 vaccine)	Lot / Dose	Site / Route
COVID19: COVID19 (COVID19 (PFIZER-BIONTECH)) / PFIZER-BIONTECH	- / -	- / -

Administered by: Unknown Purchased by: ??
 Symptoms: Death
 Life Threatening? No
 Birth Defect? No
 Died? Yes
 Date died: 0000-00-00
 Permanent Disability? No
 Recovered? No
 Office Visit (V2.0)? No
 ER or Office Visit (V1.0)? No
 ER or ED Visit (V2.0)? No
 Hospitalized? No
 Previous Vaccinations:
 Other Medications:
 Current Illness:
 Preexisting Conditions:
 Allergies:
 Diagnostic Lab Data:
 CDC Split Type: US-PFIZER INC-202300047643
 Write-up: Died since taking your COVID vaccine. This is a spontaneous report received from a contactable reporter(s) (Consumer or other non HCP). A patient (no qualifiers provided) received BNT162b2 (BNT162B2 NOS), as dose number unknown, single (Batch/Lot number: unknown) for covid-19 immunisation. The patient's relevant medical history and concomitant medications were not reported. The following information was reported: DEATH (death, medically significant), outcome "fatal", described as "Died since taking your COVID vaccine". The date and cause of death for the patient were unknown. It was not reported if an autopsy was performed. Additional information: Reporter also reported that he/she has countless sick friends thanks to it. Stated that the clock was ticking, the truth will prevail. The information on the batch/lot number for BNT162b2 has been requested and will be submitted if and when received. Sender's Comments: Linked Report(s) : US-PFIZER INC-FV202300020687 Same reporter/drug/event, different patient; Reported Cause(s) of Death: Died since taking your COVID vaccine

Record is removed as of 8/30/2024

Changed on 5/30/2025
 (Changed: Date Entered, Write-up, CDC Split Type)

VAERS ID: 2575822 **Before After** Vaccinated: 0000-00-00
 VAERS Form: 2 Onset: 0000-00-00
 Age: Submitted: 0000-00-00
 Sex: Unknown Entered: 2023-02-04 2024-08-09
 Location: Unknown

Vaccination / Manufacturer (1 vaccine)	Lot / Dose	Site / Route
COVID19: COVID19 (COVID19 (PFIZER-BIONTECH)) / PFIZER-BIONTECH	- / -	- / -

Administered by: Unknown Purchased by: ??
 Symptoms: Death
 Life Threatening? No
 Birth Defect? No
 Died? Yes
 Date died: 0000-00-00
 Permanent Disability? No
 Recovered? No
 Office Visit (V2.0)? No
 ER or Office Visit (V1.0)? No
 ER or ED Visit (V2.0)? No
 Hospitalized? No
 Previous Vaccinations:
 Other Medications:
 Current Illness:
 Preexisting Conditions:
 Allergies:
 Diagnostic Lab Data:
 CDC Split Type: US-PFIZER INC-202300047643 US-PFIZER INC-202400232467
 Write-up: Died since taking your COVID vaccine. Safety information originally reported under manufacturer case 202300047643 has been nullified and re-entered under this new manufacturer report number 202400232467. This is a spontaneous report received from a contactable reporter(s) (Consumer or other non HCP). A patient (no qualifiers provided) received BNT162b2 (BNT162B2 NOS), as dose number unknown, single (Batch/Lot number: unknown) for covid-19 immunisation. The patient's relevant medical history and concomitant medications were not reported. The following information was reported: DEATH (death, medically significant), outcome "fatal", described as "Died since taking your COVID vaccine". The date and cause of death for the patient were unknown. It was not reported if an autopsy was performed. Additional information: Reporter also reported that he/she has countless sick friends thanks to it. Stated that the clock was ticking, the truth will prevail. The information on 04Feb2023, caller reported that because these events resulted in fatalities he/she will speak with the batch/lot number for BNT162b2 has been requested families and collect relevant information. As this was a sensitive matter it may take a few days, it's not down to the general public to provide data, it needed to be collected yourself as this was your product. He/she will pass names of the deceased, their local area and possible doctor that administered the product. Further investigation was needed to know what batch and cause of death etc. Caller also stated that "looks like you will be submitted if very busy with the family for the time being". Follow-up attempts are completed. No further information is expected. Follow-up (04Feb2023). This is a spontaneous follow-up report from a contactable Consumer. Updated information included: Additional information updated. Follow-up attempts are completed. No further information is expected. Follow-up (07Aug2024). This is a follow-up report to notify that the case 202400232467 and when received. Sender's 202300047643 are duplicates. All subsequent follow-up information will be reported under manufacturer report number 202400232467. Sender's Comments: Linked Report(s) : US-PFIZER INC-FV202300020687 Same reporter/drug/event, different patient; Reported Cause(s) of Death: Died since taking your COVID vaccine

[New Search](#)

Click to launch report


Here is a summary of changes per Medalerts with a disclaimer that “cases” mean how many ID numbers aka reports were affected, not how many “symptoms” were changed (added/deleted). There were hundreds of thousands symptoms added and deleted.:

Last Month	Last Month
39206 Cases Changed Between 3/28/2025 and 4/25/2025:	39155 Cases Changed Between 4/25/2025 and 5/30/2025:
(Only showing 400 differences ... Show All)	(Only showing 400 differences ... Show All)
Summary of fields that changed: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 39114 changes to Symptoms 8479 changes to Write-up 864 changes to Diagnostic Lab Data 767 changes to Preexisting Conditions 260 changes to Other Medications 189 changes to Current Illness 59 changes to Vaccine Lot Number 58 changes to Previous Vaccinations 52 changes to Vaccine Dosage 22 changes to Vaccine Site 20 changes to Vaccine Route 2 changes to CDC Split Type 	Summary of fields that changed: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 39059 changes to Symptoms 49 changes to Vaccine Dosage 48 changes to Vaccine Lot Number 32 changes to Vaccine Site 24 changes to Vaccine Route 9 changes to Write-up 1 change to Number of Vaccines 1 change to Vaccines 1 change to Vaccine Manufacturer 1 change to Other Medications

Click to query Medalerts Wayback Machine

Seeing 39K twice is telling me VAERS might be on a pitch count? However I also did see this month they “deleted” many symptoms in the follow-up data that were previously given to us the month prior. This is a huge deal, because it basically means VAERS is even curating the follow-up data? Or are they? They are but in a Ocean’s 11 style con game style.

Look, I’m going to speed this up and spell it out and do a little show and tell in the video, but I fabricated this dashboard to help me navigate like a Navy Seal Ninja.



Click to launch Dashboard

The small VAERS community is pretty hyper focused by symptoms right now but VAERS also made many follow-up updates to death, event levels, state/country locations, etc...

Notice the top symptoms above like #1 Pyrexia with an increase of almost 50K symptoms from the month before. Medalerts is close to parity in the Pyrexia example here:

Messages:

- ▶ VAERS data in CDC WONDER are updated every month. Hence, results for the same query can change from month to month.
- ▶ These results are for 347,193 total events.

Symptoms ↓	Events Reported ↑↓	Percent (of 347,193) ↑↓
PYREXIA	347,193	100.00%
Total	347,193	100.00%

From the 5/30/2025 release of VAERS data:
(Over by 20)
Found 347,213 cases where Symptom is Pyrexia

VaersAware has 352K Pyrexia but I'm also considering cumulative absolute counts in both months while observing distinct counts at the case and symptom levels. This means a person could have Pyrexia in the 1st report and 2nd follow-up report as well, so my distinct counting will only credit the report with 1 pyrexia. Even if the Pyrexia has seemingly been deleted or not present in the next follow-up, I'm still keeping it.

This is a profound observation because I'm now questioning what symptoms have been truly "deleted" from the forward facing report as per WONDER, MedAlerts, OpenVAERS, or anybody trying to follow all the obfuscations.

I stand by what I'm saying and I even communicated to the Steven Rubin (medalerts) about it:

Steven,
Thanks. Yes the missing "order1" on many wreaks havoc. I guess in your algorithms you need to treat order2 as a "1" when no order1 is present.

Do not
I think I see when orders (follow-ups) do have correct chronological time stamps, it's causing medalerts problems.

In the end, I'm seeing (in medalerts) medDRA's that weren't on the initial report but came in on a follow-up. Medalerts is showing it on forward facing as if it had...?

also, in the wayback machine "changes" regrading what is added and what is removed in terms a symptoms, is a little wonky and not on parity with WONDER.

I realize how difficult it is reading the follow-ups with human eye balls versus robotic eyeballs.

Ideally all MedDRA codes would carry forward to the next order, and a simple addition or subtraction of a MedDRA would be easily recognizable. However, I'm not agreeing that a symptom like "chest pain" or "chest discomfort" is being replaced with myocarditis? It just so happens as results and follow-up data comes in a single "myocarditis" is reported on the next order code per WONDER. Does that mean chest pain way eliminated?

If you are trying to keep parity with WONDER than medalerts is pretty close, but If I'm wanting to also keep parity with the follow-up I'll be falling further away from forward facing parity.

One example is all the location changes there are in the follow-up data. From one domestic state to another or from Domestic to Foreign and vice versa. I've found so far 113 IDs where VAERS changed location from Domestic to FR or vice versa.

Big changes to age making the registrant in effect a different victim?

Follow-up (1794156-2)

VAERS ID: 1794156 Vaccinated: 2021-09-29 2021-10-14
VAERS Form: 2 Onset: 2021-09-29 2021-10-14
Age: 57.0 87.0 Submitted: 0000-00-00
Sex: Female Entered: 2021-10-17 2021-11-03
Location: Louisiana Foreign

Vaccination / Manufacturer (1 vaccine)	Lot / Dose	Site / Route
COVID19: COVID19 (COVID19 (MODERNA)) COVID19 (COVID19 (PFIZER-BIONTECH)) / MODERNA PFIZER/BIONTECH	039D21A FG3712 / 3	- / IM -

Administered by: Unknown Other Purchased by: ??

Symptoms: Cerebrovascular accident, Chest pain, Disability, Hemiparesis, Muscular weakness, Pain, Pyrexia, Facial paresis
Life Threatening? No Yes

Birth Defect? No

Died? No

Permanent Disability? No Yes

Recovered? No

Office Visit (V2.0)? No

ER or Office Visit (V1.0)? No

ER or ED Visit (V2.0)? Yes

Hospitalized? No Yes, days: (blank)

Extended hospital stay? No

Previous Vaccinations:

Other Medications: Humira

Current Illness:

Preexisting Conditions: Crohn's disease

Allergies:

Diagnostic Lab Data:

CDC 'Split Type':

Write-up: High fever, chest pains, total body aches

Forward facing Wonder & medalerts is saying 57 from LA

Vaersaware is calling this 87 from UNK Foreign location and Pfizer, not Moderna

Although deleting chest pain, pain, and pyrexia is probably appropriate because this is altogether a different victim, vaersaware is keeping all meddra and treating all symptoms as add-ons...

Sincerely,
Albert

From Steven Rubin <[REDACTED]>
To welcometheeagle88@protonmail.com

☆ Yesterday



One possible explanation for these xxxxxx-2 cases that have no original case is that the original case was deleted, and nobody bothered to remove the follow-ups. Examining a few of them seems to validate this. So perhaps I should conform to Wonder and ignore all cases that don't have a xxxxxx-1. This will keep symptoms consistent as well.

-Steve

On 6/11/2025 11:40 AM, welcometheeagle88 wrote:

As you can see this still a work in progress to decipher and reconcile what VAERS is actually really doing? Just because VAERS officially deletes symptom like chest pain and replaces it with "chest discomfort" doesn't mean it's correct.

One thing is for sure, VAERS is definitely reshuffling the deck now that there is a new Sherrieff in town, RFKjr & company? I hope Bobby has some good

people to help as the rats on the ship are scrambling around trying to cover-up the evidence!

Look at some of this bullshit:

VAERS ID: 186414 (history) (follow-up: 1)	Vaccinated: 1997-05-01
Form: Version 1.0	Onset: 2001-12-13
Age: 5.0	Days after vaccination: 1687
Sex: Female	Submitted: 2002-05-15
Location: Unknown	Days after onset: 153
	Entered: 2002-06-12
	Days after submission: 28

Vaccination / Manufacturer	Lot / Dose	Site / Route
VARCEL: VARICELLA (VARIVAX) / MERCK & CO. INC.	- / UNK	- / -

Administered by: Other **Purchased by:** Other
Symptoms: [Herpes zoster](#), [Rash papular](#), [Rash vesicular](#)
SMQs: Hypersensitivity (narrow), Drug reaction with eosinophilia and systemic symptoms syndrome (broad), Opportunistic infections (broad)
Life Threatening? No
Birth Defect? No
Died? No
Permanent Disability? No
Recovered? Yes
Office Visit? No
ER Visit? Yes
ER or Doctor Visit? No
Hospitalized? No
Previous Vaccinations:
Other Medications: UNK
Current Illness:
Preexisting Conditions: NONE
Allergies:
Diagnostic Lab Data: Tzanck test-multinucleated giant cells (12/13/01), deoxyribonucleic acid 12/13/01: VZV + non typable. VZV strain 12/13/01: reamplified specimen + WT VZV (Columbia University).
CDC Split Type: WAES0201USA03220
Write-up: Information has been received from a physician concerning a 5 year old female who in May 1997 was vaccinated in the arm with one dose of varicella virus vaccine live. There were no concurrent medications. It was noted that a rash did not occur at the site of injection post-vaccination. On 12/13/2001, the child developed a rash consisting of papules and vesicles. There were approximately 50 lesions. There was not recent exposure to chickenpox or shingles. She presented to the physician who diagnosed her with herpes zoster along the right L2 dermatome. On 12/13/2001, the physician obtained a specimen from one of the lesions. A Tzanck test showed multinucleated giant cells. The physician prepared a slide from the specimen, which will be submitted for PCR analysis. The pt subsequently recovered. Additional info has been requested. Follow up information indicated that the interpretation of PCR analysis was "VZV positive, non-typable". Additional follow up information was received on 30OCT2002. The vesicular rash specimen was positive for VZV and PCR analysis of reamplified specimen identified the presence of WT VZV. No further information is available.

16 yrs later this child is changed to 1.17yr old from Connecticut!
 See follow-up #2 below...

Details for VAERS ID: 0186414-2

Event Information			
Patient Age	1.17	Sex	Female
State / Territory	Connecticut	Date Report Completed	2018-05-15
Date Vaccinated	1997-05-01	Date Report Received	2018-05-15
Date of Onset	2001-12-13	Date Died	
Days to onset	1687		
Vaccine Administered By	Unknown	Vaccine Purchased By	Not Applicable *
Mfr/Imm Project Number	US0095075130201USA03220	Report Form Version	2
Recovered	Yes	Serious	No

* VAERS 2.0 Report Form Only
** VAERS-1 Report Form Only
"Not Applicable" will appear when information is not available on this report form version.

Event Categories	
Death	No
Life Threatening	No
Permanent Disability	No
Congenital Anomaly / Birth Defect *	No
Hospitalized	No
Days in Hospital	None
Existing Hospitalization Prolonged	No
Emergency Room / Office Visit **	N/A
Emergency Room *	No
Office Visit *	No

* VAERS 2.0 Report Form Only
** VAERS-1 Report Form Only
"N/A" will appear when information is not available on this report form version.

Vaccine Type	Vaccine	Manufacturer	Lot	Dose	Route	Site
VARIVAX-VARICELLA VIRUS LIVE	VARICELLA (VARIVAX)	MERCK & CO. INC.	NONE	UNK	UN	UN

Symptom
BLISTER
HERPES ZOSTER
PAIN
PRURITUS
RASH VESICULAR
SCAB
VARICELLA POST VACCINE
VARICELLA VIRUS TEST POSITIVE

16 yrs later they update and change age from 5yr to 1.17yr old? Manufacturer even knows the child from Connecticut, but can't give us the lot number or dosing info?

Common man!


Adverse Event Description

"Information has been received from a physician concerning a 5-year-old female (normal host with no significant medical history, no known allergies) who in May 1997 was vaccinated in the arm with one dose of varicella virus vaccine live. There were no concurrent medications. It was noted that a rash did not occur at the site of injection post-vaccination. On 13-DEC-2001, the child developed a rash consisting of papules and vesicles. There were approximately 50 lesions. There was no recent exposure to chicken pox or shingles. She presented to the physician who diagnosed her with herpes zoster along the right L-2 dermatome. On 13-DEC-2001 the physician obtained a specimen from one of the lesions. A Tzanck test showed multinucleated giant cells. The physician prepared a slide from the specimen, which will be submitted for PCR analysis. The patient subsequently recovered. Follow-up information indicated that the interpretation of the PCR analysis was "VZV positive, non typable". Additional follow-up information was received on 30-OCT-2002. The vesicular rash specimen was positive for VZV and PCR analysis of reamplified specimen identified the presence of Wild Type VZV. Subsequently, the patient's experience was reported in a published article, title as stated above, that a "previously well" 5 year old female who, at 15 months of age, was vaccinated with a dose of varicella virus vaccine live (Oka/Merck). Subsequently, the patient presented to her pediatrician with a 10-day history of painful pruritic blisters which began on her left buttocks and spread to her left lateral thigh. Her physician considered herpes simplex, zoster and contact dermatitis and referred her to infectious disease. On examination, she was afebrile and had a vesicular rash involving the L-2 dermatome. The base of a vesicle was scraped and was VZV DFA-positive. Crusts of the lesion were removed and sent for DNA typing. No other studies were done, and the patient was reassured. The pain, pruritus and rash resolved within the week. The VZV isolate was typed as wild type VZV. It was reported that it was possible that preceding the varicella vaccine the patient had had a mild case of varicella that was not diagnosed or that after the varicella vaccine she was exposed to wild type VZV and was asymptotically infected. The wild type VZV established latency and reactivated as zoster. It was noted that the patient has remained well for 2 years. This is an amended report. Event of wild type VZV was encoded from varicella zoster virus infection to Herpes Zoster. Additionally relatedness was updated from unknown to related per current guidelines. Sender's Comments: MERCK 0201USA03220: Mfr number."

Circling back to what symptoms might be being deleted versus omitted from follow-up data....

It's clear that follow-ups are coming in from all directions and all physician specialties for a single case. Just because the GP states chest pain, while the cardiologist states on the next follow-up data "myocarditis". This does not mean the victim didn't have chest pain and therefore should be deleted?

Here's an overview and example of how many "myocarditis" came into VAERS from last month to this month.



Medalerts shows myocarditis and chest pain was added the previous month with the retro follow-up data, now “deleted” this month and I concur per the downloadable files...

VAERS ID:	201045	Vaccinated:	2003-03-27
VAERS Form:	1	Onset:	2003-04-04
Age:	34.0	Submitted:	2003-04-07 2003-09-25
Sex:	Male	Entered:	2003-04-07 2003-09-25
Location:	Ohio		

Administered by: Military Purchased by: Military Unknown
Symptoms: Chest discomfort, Chest pain, Chills, Feeling abnormal, Headache, Laboratory test abnormal, Lethargy, Malaise, Myocarditis, Pericarditis, Pyrexia


Click to launch follow-up history

For these reasons it's critical to capture all data given. VAERSAWARE moving forward in the medDRA fields will capture ALL medDRA codes in the interactive dashboard regardless if it's interpreted as being "deleted". Many

symptoms are clearly not being deleted, just not exclusively being propagated forward to the next follow-up report.


This brings me to the next issue of not having visibility into the master list of symptom codes per patient as you would in a billing system like EPIC or GE Centricity, EClinicalWorks, AdvancedMD, Lytec, Athena and many other Billing & EMR software, I'm sure.

CDC/FDA/VAERS is showing 11.3M symptoms, VAERSAWARE is showing 11,526,492 symptoms		
ZOONOTIC BACTERIAL INFECTION	1	0.00%
ZOSTER SINE HERPETE	9	0.00%
Total	11,337,205	424.08%



I'm showing a net difference of a couple hundred thousand symptoms. It might not sound like a big deal but it currently means 1,000 more dead people and thousands more people with myocarditis, pulmonary embolisms, infarctions, etc...

Update June 12:

From  welcometheeagle88@protonmail.com

☆ 8:48 AM

To Steven Rubin




Question on interpretation...

39155 Cases Changed Between 4/25/2025 and 5/30/2025:

(Only showing 400 differences ... [Show All](#))

Is this just forward facing cases, or some combo of follow-up cases as well?

Summary of fields that changed:



- 39059 changes to Symptoms
- 49 changes to Vaccine Dosage
- 48 changes to Vaccine Lot Number
- 32 changes to Vaccine Site
- 24 changes to Vaccine Route
- 9 changes to Write-up
- 1 change to Number of Vaccines
- 1 change to Vaccines
- 1 change to Vaccine Manufacturer
- 1 change to Other Medications


In the previous epoch we could count on these changes being on "forward facing" reports as follow-up reports of course did not exist, but now I'm thinking it's both or some combo?

-Albert

From  Steven Rubin <[REDACTED]>

☆ 11:40 AM

To welcometheeagle88@protonmail.com



The Wayback Machine only looks at the xxxxx-1 cases right now. I have not yet programmed a way to analyze the follow-ups.

-Steve

On 6/12/2025 11:48 AM, welcometheeagle88 wrote:


This question and answer is very insightful because I was seeing much more than 39K cases being affected, I'm seeing hundreds of thousands. This will make sense to about five people. 🤖

VIDEO:




Full WONDER version of above report that developed into death:

Communications with Medalerts in regards to his summary narrative
“changes: Before/After”:



Below is what I'm referring to in my communications with Medalerts (Steven Rubin).




Conclusion:

It's the pharmacovigilance heist of the century and the VAERS community and enthusiasts are as silent as church mice! I mean gee whiz, what say you

OpenVAERS? What say you Dr. Jessica Rose? Jikky, Hengin, Kirsch?
Anybody? Bueller? Bueller? Calling Ferris Bueller...

I realized it by 2022 or by the time I started to audit all the non-covid vax types, CDC/FDA and manufacturers have been gaming VAERS from day one. VAERS could be awesome, so awesome that vaccines wouldn't even be allowed to exist. I'm sure the cabal knew this which is precisely the reason for it's creation as a back-up fail safe like Dominion voting machines. God Bless



I think it might be time for all of you to take some of those VSRF, ICAN, Highwire, and CHD donations use it to throw The Eagle a bone or a piece of salmon? Please support The Eagle. God Bless

Upgrade to paid

Share

You're currently a free subscriber to WelcomeTheEagle's Substack.
For the full experience, upgrade your subscription.

Upgrade to paid



LIKE



COMMENT



RESTACK

© 2025 WelcomeTheEagle88
[Unsubscribe](#)



Start writing